

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

				10.		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/486,037		02/18/2000	SYLVAIN ORENGA	105454	7665	
25944	7590	07/01/2003				
OLIFF & B	ERRIDO	GE, PLC				
P.O. BOX 19	P.O. BOX 19928				EXAMINER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				NAVARRO, ALBERT MARK		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1645		
				DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	17	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/486,037

Applicant(s)

Orenga

The Action Summary	F ·						
	Examiner Mark Navarro	Art Unit 1645					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover shoot with the						
Constant Nepty			ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In mailing date of this communication. 	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed	after SIX (6) MONT	HS from the				
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be set of extended period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will be set or extended period. 	ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailir	considered timely.					
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, even if timely filed, may re-	duce any					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 23, 2	003						
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act			·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosec	cution as to th	e merits is				
Disposition of Cialitis							
4) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4-12, and 19-31</u>	is/are	pending in the	application				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are	withdrawn from	OM Consideration				
0/ 54 Cidilit(s) 20-28	i	s/are allowed.					
6) X Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-12, 19, and 29-31		s/are rejected					
	i	Voro objected	to				
8) Claims	are subject to restrict	ion and/or alor	tio.				
		ion and/or elec	tion requirement.				
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a	a) 🗆 accepted or b) 🗆 objected	to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra	wing(s) he held in showers C	07.0==	J				
the proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)□ disapprove	d by the Examiner				
approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	this Office action						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign price a) All b) Some* c) None of:	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(c	i) or (f).					
	been received.						
and sopies of the phonty documents have	been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc application from the International Bureau *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the c	uments have been received in the (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	is National St	age				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	iority under 25 th 0.0 consequent						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, under 00 0.3.6. 33 120 a	na/or 121.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).							
5) Notice of Informal Peters April 201							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment filed April 23, 2003 (Paper Number 16) has been received and entered. Claims 3 and 13-18 have been canceled, and new claims 29-31 have been added.

Consequently, claims 1-2, 4-12 and 19-31 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The rejection of claims 2-3 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being vague and indefinite in the recitation of the term optionally is withdrawn in view of Applicants amendment.
- 2. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. The rejection of claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being vague and indefinite in the recitation of a "derivative" is withdrawn in view of the cancellation of said claim.

Art Unit: 1645

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1-4 and 6-8 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaneko et al is maintained.

Applicants are asserting that claim 1 has been amended to recite that the compound that selectively inhibits the hexosaminidase activity of C. tropicalis is "not formamide." Applicants assert that Kaneko does not disclose such a medium.

Applicants arguments have been fully considered but are not found to be fully persuasive.

Applicants have amended claim 1 to recite that the compound that selectively inhibits the hexosaminidase activity of C. tropicalis is "not formamide." However, this limitation is still not sufficient to overcome the disclosure of Kaneko et al. As set forth in the office action mailed October 23, 2002 Kaneko disclose of a composition comprising 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-glucuronic acid and dimethyl formamide. Those of ordinary skill in the art would readily appreciate that dimethyl formamide has a distinct structure from that of formamide

Art Unit: 1645

Consequently, the amendment to recite "not formamide" does not exclude the compound "dimethyl formamide."

The claims are drawn to culture medium for the specific identification and/or differentiation of *Candida albicans* and *Candida tropicalis* yeast, comprising a chromogenic or fluorigenic substrate, which can be hydrolyzed by an enzyme of the hexosaminidase family, wherein the medium also comprises at least one compound that selectively inhibits the hexosaminidase activity of *C. tropicalis*, wherein said compound is not formamide.

Kaneko et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,272,072) disclose of a composition comprising 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-glucuronic acid and dimethyl formamide. (See column 9).

In view that 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -D-glucuronic is a chromogenic substrate that can be hydrolyzed by an enzyme of the hexosaminidase family and that dimethyl formamide selectively inhibits the hexosaminidase activity of *C. tropicalis*, the disclosure of Kaneko et al is deemed to anticipate the claimed invention.

It is noted that Kaneko et al do not disclose culturing Candida with the composition, however culturing Candida with the composition is merely an intended use of the composition and

Art Unit: 1645

5. The rejection of claims 19 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wong-Madden et al is maintained.

Applicants are asserting that Wong-Madden do not teach a microbiological analysis process for selectively identifying and/or differentiating the C. albican and/or C. tropicalis yeasts, characterized in that the sample to be analyzed is placed directly in contact with the medium of claim 1.

Applicants arguments have been fully considered but are not found to be fully persuasive.

Applicants attention is directed to claim 19, which recites "microbiological analysis process for selectively identifying the C. albicans and/or C. tropicalis yeast and/or for differentiating C. albicans and C. tropicalis yeasts, characterized in that the sample to be analyzed is placed directly in contact with at least one identification medium according to claim 1."

Applicants have not traversed that Wong-Madden teach the composition of claim 1.

Applicants have only asserted that Wong-Madden do not teach the microbiological analysis part.

However, Wong-Madden et al have taught the identical composition as claimed in claim 1, and further inoculated a sample into the medium. Consequently, each and every limitation has been addressed by Wong-Madden et al. The claim does not require a step of identifying a certain species of Candida, allowing colors to appear in the medium, or anything else to differentiate from what Wong-Madden et al already preformed. "Generally, the preamble does not limit the claims...

Art Unit: 1645

body to define the subject matter of the claimed invention." Allen Eng'g Corp. v. Bartell Indus., v. Darragh Co., 63 USPQ2d 1769, 1774 (Fed. Cir. 2002). "A statement of intended use or purpose usually will not limit the scope of the claim since such statements merely define the context in which the invention operates. However, preamble language may limit the claim if it recites not merely a context in which the invention may be used, but give meaning to the limitations recited in the body of the claim." DeGeorge v. Bernier, 226 USPQ 758, 761 n.3 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

In view that the body of the claim merely recites placing a sample in the culture medium of claim 1, and that Wong-Madden et al placed a sample in a medium identical to the medium recited in claim 1, the disclosure of Wong-Madden et al is deemed to anticipate the claimed invention.

The claims are drawn to microbiological analysis process for selectively identifying the C. albicans and/or C. tropicalis yeast and/or for differentiating C. albicans and C. tropicalis yeasts, characterized in that the sample to be analyzed is placed directly in contact with at least one identification medium according to claim 1.

Wong-Madden (U.S. Publication 2002/0137176) disclose of multiple chromogenically labeled substrates in a composition. (See pages 6-9).

In view that Wong-Madden disclose of multiple chromogenically labeled substrates that can be hydrolyzed by an enzyme from the hexosaminidase family, and chromogenically labeled

Art Unit: 1645

substrates that can be hydrolyzed by an enzyme from the glucosidase family, and further placing a sample in the medium, the disclosure of Wong-Madden et al is deemed to anticipate the claimed invention.

The following new grounds of rejection are applied to the claims:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claims 1-2, 4-12, 19 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

Claim 1 has been amended to newly recite that the at least one compound that selectively inhibits the hexosaminidase activity of C. tropicalis, "wherein said compound is not formamide." However, Applicants have not pointed to support for claiming an entire genus of compounds that inhibit hexosaminidase while excluding formamide from this list. Furthermore, Applicants claimed composition clearly encompasses formamide. (See claims 9 and 31). Consequently, the newly cited limitation of excluding formamide from the compounds which inhibit the hexosaminidase activity of C. tropicalis must have clear support within the originally filed specification. Applicant

Application/Control Number: 09/486,037

Page 8

Art Unit: 1645

is required to demonstrate this support (page and line number) or cancel the newly added material.

Claims 20-28 are allowed.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1645

can be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:00 AM - 6:00 PM. The examiner can be reached on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Lynette Smith can be reached at (703) 308-3909.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1645 by facsimile transmission. Papers should by faxed to Group 1645 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the official Gazette 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CMI Fax Center number is (703) 308-4242.

M

Mark Navarro

Primary Examiner